

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/058,021	01/29/2002	Takaei Kitagawa	D-1215	2668
7.	590 08/18/2003		·	
KANESAKA AND TAKEUCHI			EXAMINER	
1423 Powhatan Alexandria, VA			CYGAN, MICHAEL T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	2855	
		DATE MAILED: 08/18/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
f	_	10/058,021	KITAGAWA, TAKAEI	Ci			
	Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
		Michael Cygan	2855				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SH THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ı.			
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 J	luly 2003 .					
2a)⊠		is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims	ex parto quayro, 1000 0.5. 11, 1	00 0.0. 210.				
4)🖂	Claim(s) $\underline{\text{1-9}}$ is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
	on Papers						
· —	The specification is objected to by the Examiner		–				
10)[X]	The drawing(s) filed on 29 January 2002 is/are:		•				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
•	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	nriority under 35 H.S.C. 8 119/a)-(d) or (f)				
	X All b) Some * c) None of:	priority and of o.o.o. 3 170(a	, (a) o. (.).				
-/.	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No.				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* 5	application from the international Bul See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.				
14) 🔲 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional application	on).			
) The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti						
Attachmen	•						
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

1. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'Dougherty (US 5,924,794) in view of Allington (US 3,398,689). O'Dougherty teaches mixing two different liquids (a concentrate and a diluent) having a predetermined mixing ratio (i.e., a desired concentration of the concentrate in the diluent) by setting and changing a switching timing (amount of time the associated valves are opened); see column 12, lines 34-39 for concentrate and column 13, lines 7-12 for diluent. The concentrate and diluent are alternately and sequentially sucked into pump chamber 26 as shown in Figures 1 and 5 (steps 224 and 226; the procedure of adding concentrate and/or diluent is repeated as specified at column 12, lines 50-54).

O'Dougherty further teaches determining an actual mixing ratio with sensors and analyzers [34,45], calculating mixing ratio errors as the difference between predicted and actual ratios ("Injection Constant" for both Concentrate and Diluent), storing the updated constant in memory

[44] as shown in Figure 2, which is used to correct the switching timing for future operations. The valves are sequentially operated as shown in Figure 5A (steps [200,205]). Note for example column 13, lines 5-11, and also lines 18-45.

Apparatus for performing the above method is disclosed, including switch valves [32,156], pump [26], mix tank [12], detectors [34,36], calculation microprocessor [42], memory [44], and correction portion [40] connected to calculation portion and switch valves and memory portion. See entire document, especially column 5, lines 3-52; column 7 line 29 through column 8 line 24; column 10 line 47 through column 11 line 42; column 12, line 64 through column 13, line 46.

O'Dougherty teaches the claimed invention except application to a liquid chromatograph having a column and utilizing density gradients as well as a pump including a pump chamber having plunger, cam, motor, and position sensor. Allington teaches an apparatus for mixing two liquids and transferring the mixture to a detector which is applied to a liquid chromatograph having a column and utilizing density gradients as well as a pump including a pump chamber having plunger [56,57,72] in a pump chamber, cam [78], motor [19], and position sensor [springs 67,73]; (see column 2, lines 9-31; column 4, line 67 through column 6, line 46; and column 6 line 68 through 7, line 45).

Application/Control Number: 10/058,021

Art Unit: 2855

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a liquid chromatograph having a column and utilizing density gradients as well as a pump including a pump chamber having plunger, cam, motor, and position sensor in the invention taught by O'Dougherty to act as the controlled system, since Allington teaches that a pump including a pump chamber having plunger, cam, motor, and position sensor provides a practically constant flow of liquid (which is beneficial for the ability to produce a desired concentration through valve switching in the invention taught by O'Dougherty), and that a liquid chromatograph in which the column is attached to a components detector requires a specified variation of relative concentration with respect to the amount of liquid pumped for density gradients measurements, and therefore would provide a beneficial application for the mixing device taught by O'Dougherty.

Page 4

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-9 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

 Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE Art Unit: 2855

FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

4. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Cygan whose telephone number is 703-305-0846. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-6 M-Th, alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Lefkowitz can be reached on 703-305-4816. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Art Unit: 2855

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Michael Cygan Examiner Art Unit 2855